

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/502,230	07/19/2005	Peter David Jenkins	1011-0057	1496	
Edward M Kea	7590 10/27/200 ating	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Cook Alex McFarron Manzo Cummings & Mehler			HAUGLAND, SCOTT J		
200 W Adams Suite 2850	Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60606			3654		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/27/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/502,230	JENKINS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SCOTT HAUGLAND	3654	

	SCOTT HAUGLAND	3654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, because that would require further core. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c)) They are not deemed to place the application in better. 	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c			10 133003 101
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			,
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. \(\subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) \(\begin{align*}{l} \text{ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: \(\text{Claim(s) allowed to: } \) \(\text{Claim(s) rejected to: } \) \(\text{Claim(s) rejected: } \frac{1 \text{ for monosideration: } \) \(\text{Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: } \)		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/John Q. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3654			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1 and 11 raise new issues.